UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/786,732 | 02/25/2004 | James E. Haley | 40030-10087 2743 | |
| 21788 RYNDAK & S | 7590 09/19/200 URI LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 200 W. MADISON STREET | | | CARTAGENA, MELVIN A | |
| SUITE 2100 CHICAGO, IL | 60606 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 3754 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/19/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | 9 | Ã |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Advisory Action | 10/786,732 | HALEY, JAMES E. | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Melvin A. Cartagena | 3754 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | • | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | ecause |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | | the issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | llowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | int canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. | | II be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a North of the affidate of | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is | t be entered necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing | | | |

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does | s NOT place the application in conditi | on før allowance because: |
|---|--|---------------------------|
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does | | |

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: ____.

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The pour opening extending across substantially an entire cross section of the upper portion requires further consideration .

KEVIN SHAVER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700